

[P. 73-A]

437
629
574
629
over the chiefs organized wrestling matches between teams of the two villages. Before the visitors left, a feast (tulaki, swallow feast) was given in their honor. The motives for these trading expeditions were both economic and ceremonial. They were organized by a chief when the villagers actually required certain economic goods, but even when there was no economic need, goods were exchanged and the men of the two villages participated in wrestling, dancing, and feasting.

SOCIAL ORGANIZATION

1/1
721
622
624
The Bacairi claim not to have been a warlike people. They defended themselves if attacked, but say that they did not attack others. Each village had a chief (pima) who was assisted by an *itidsi*. There was no chief for the whole tribe. The *itidsi*, who was a young man, was selected by the chief with the consent of the villagers. The *itidsi* could be the son of the chief but this is not a requirement, for any able young man was eligible for this position. At the death of the chief the *itidsi* succeeded him.

622
The principal functions of the chief were economic, judicial, and ceremonial. As we have seen, the chief organized trading expeditions and participated in such activities as collective planting and house building. He also represented the people of his village when visitors arrived or when his own village made formal visits. If the

624
village feared attack the *pima* selected a particularly brave man to lead the defense or to undertake retaliation. Discussions concerning disputes and other village affairs took place in the

622
ceremonial house. Murderers were exiled or if a

622
war was on the murderer was made to bear the

622
brunt of the attack. Thieves, adulterers, and others who persisted in disturbing the peace and harmony of the village were exiled through the

622
common action of the villagers. Exile was considered severe punishment, for the Bacairi say that individuals who were exiled often committed

622
suicide. Chiefs among the Bacairi, therefore, were not war leaders, nor was succession governed by descent. Chiefs seem, thus, to have been primarily leaders of social activities. The part which a chief played in ceremonial life will be described later.